# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1594-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 664

Subject: Motor Fuel; Tax Credits; Revenue Department

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 4, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal authorizes income tax credits for qualified alternative fuel

vehicles.

# FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
General Revenue	(\$140,550 to \$1,140,550)	(\$40,865 to 1,040,865)	(\$41,288 to 1,041,288)
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$140,550 to \$1,140,550)	(\$40,865 to 1,040,865)	(\$41,288 to 1,041,288)

Note: The fiscal note does not reflect the possibility that some of the tax credits could be utilized by insurance companies against insurance premium taxes. If this occurs, the loss in tax revenue would be split between the General Revenue Fund and the County Foreign Insurance Fund, which ultimately goes to local school districts.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 1594-01 Bill No. HB 664 Page 2 of 6 March 4, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0

L.R. No. 1594-01 Bill No. HB 664 Page 3 of 6 March 4, 2015

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials at the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** assume beginning January 1, 2015, the legislation allows a taxpayer a tax credit for purchasing a new qualified alternative fuel vehicle or converting a pre-owned vehicle to a qualified alternative fuel vehicle. This proposal allows tax credits based on three different categories of vehicle weight. Subsection 4 of this bill establishes an aggregate amount not to exceed one million dollars in a fiscal year.

DOR requires 335.88 hours of form and programming changes to the Individual Tax System at \$75 per hour for a total estimated cost of \$25,191 and 503.28 hours of form and programming changes to the Banking and Utilities Tax System at \$75 per hour for a total estimated cost of \$37,746 for a grand total of \$100,683.

Personal Tax requires one (1) Revenue Processing Technicians I for tax credit redemption and tax credit transfers and Corporate Tax requires three (3) Revenue Processing Technicians I for tax credits redeemed, error correction, and additional correspondence. DOR's total estimated FTE cost is approximately \$100,000 per year.

**Oversight** assumes the responsibilities of this proposal can be handled by one additional FTE. Should DOR see an increase in tax credit redemptions, DOR can seek additional resources through the appropriation process.

**Oversight** has, for fiscal note purposes only, changed the starting salary for the four Revenue Processing Technicians I (\$25,884) to correspond to the second step above minimum for comparable positions in the state's merit system pay grid. This decision reflects a study of actual starting salaries for new state employees over the last six months and policy of the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research.

Officials at the **Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning** assume this proposal would create a tax credit for taxpayers that purchase a new qualified alternative fuel vehicle or convert a previously purchased vehicle into a qualified alternative fuel vehicle, beginning January 1, 2015. These tax credits and those in §135.710, RSMo, are capped at an aggregate of \$1,000,000 per calendar year. These tax credits are subject to appropriation. This proposal could therefore reduce General and Total State Revenues by up to this amount annually.

Officials at the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration (DIFP)** assume an unknown reduction of premium tax revenue as a result of the authorization of the qualified alternative fuel vehicles tax credit is possible. Premium tax revenue

L.R. No. 1594-01 Bill No. HB 664 Page 4 of 6 March 4, 2015

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

is split 50/50 between General Revenue and County Foreign Insurance Fund except for domestic Stock Property and Casualty Companies who pay premium tax to the County Stock Fund. The County Foreign Insurance Fund is later distributed to school districts throughout the state. County Stock Funds are later distributed to the school district and county treasurer of the county in which the principal office of the insurer is located. It is unknown how each of these funds may be impacted by tax credits each year.

DIFP also assumes 56 hours of programming changes at \$75 per hour for a total cost of \$4,212 to the Premium Tax Credit System.

**Oversight** assumes DIFP is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of computer programming activity each year. Oversight assumes DIFP could absorb the programming costs related to this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** state many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the

General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

**Oversight** assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development**, the **Joint Committee on Administrative Rules** and the **Department of Natural Resources** each assume no fiscal impact from this proposal to their respective organizations.

**Oversight** notes the cumulative amount of tax credits which may be claimed by eligible applicants claiming all credits authorized in this proposal shall not exceed \$1,000,000 in any calendar year. Therefore, Oversight will show the impact as \$0 (no credits claimed) to the maximum \$1,000,000.

L.R. No. 1594-01 Bill No. HB 664 Page 5 of 6 March 4, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018	
GENERAL REVENUE				
Revenue Reduction - creation of tax	\$0 to	\$0 to	\$0 to	
credit §135.711	(\$1,000,000)	(\$1,000,000)	(\$1,000,000)	
Cost - DOR				
Personal Service	(\$21,570)	(\$26,143)	(\$26,404)	
Fringe Benefits	(\$11,217)	(\$13,596)	(\$13,731)	
Equipment and Expenses	(\$7,080)	(\$1,126)	(\$1,153)	
Computer Programming	(\$100,683)	\$0	\$0	
Total Cost - DOR	(\$140,550)	(\$40,865)	(\$41,288)	
Total FTE Change - DOR	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	(\$140,550 to	(\$40,865 to	(\$41,288 to	
GENERAL REVENUE	<u>\$1,140,550)</u>	<u>\$1,040,865)</u>	<u>\$1,041,288)</u>	
Estimated Net FTE change on General				
Revenue	1 FTE	1 FTE	1 FTE	
Note: The fiscal note does not reflect the possibility that some of the tay gradity could be				

Note: The fiscal note does not reflect the possibility that some of the tax credits could be utilized by insurance companies against insurance premium taxes. If this occurs, the loss in tax revenue would be split between the General Revenue Fund and the County Foreign Insurance Fund, which ultimately goes to local school districts.

	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Beginning January 1, 2015, this bill authorizes a tax credit for the purchase of a new qualified alternative fuel vehicle or for converting a previously-purchased motor vehicle to a qualified fuel vehicle in the following amounts: \$5,000 for each vehicle with a gross vehicle weight (GVW) of greater than 2,000 pounds but less than 10,000 pounds, \$7,000 for a heavy-duty vehicle with a

L.R. No. 1594-01 Bill No. HB 664 Page 6 of 6 March 4, 2015

# FISCAL DESCRIPTION (continued)

GVW of at least 10,000 pounds but less than 26,000 pounds, and \$20,000 for vehicles with a GVW of at least 26,000 pounds. The credit cannot be refunded, transferred, sold, or assigned, but may be carried forward for up to 10 subsequent taxable years.

The tax credit is added to the \$1 million per year cumulative cap set for tax credits for electric vehicle recharging properties and alternative fuel refueling properties in Section 135.710, RSMo, and is subject to appropriations. A taxpayer cannot receive more than \$100,000 in tax credits before March 31 per year. Beginning April 1, all unused, appropriated credits can be issued to any taxpayer for any qualified alternative fuel vehicle and not be subject to the \$100,000 cap.

The bill allows any alternative fuel vehicle to exceed the maximum GVW limit and axle weight limit for such vehicle under Section 304.180 by 2,000 pounds.

The provisions of the bill will expire December 31 six years from the effective date.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue
Office of Administration's Division of Budget and Planning
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules
Office of the Secretary of State
Department of Natural Resources
Department of Economic Development
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions, and Professional Registration

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 4, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director March 4, 2015